

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/828,266	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAGATO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SRINIVASA R. REDDIVALAM	<b>Art Unit</b> 4177	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Srinivasa R. Reddivalam.

 (2) Zachary S. Stern.
**Status of Application:** Amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 January 2005
**Time:** 11:00AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative authorized an entry of Examiner's Amendment to make a minor correction in claim 1 and cancel claim 9 in order to bring the case to allowance condition.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)